IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

THOMAS CERAUL,

Petitioner,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 17-3486

ROBERT GILMORE, et. al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 25th day of February, 2019, upon thorough review of Petitioner's pro se Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 1), the state court record, United States Magistrate Judge Marilyn Heffley's Second Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 21), Petitioner's objections (ECF No. 29), Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (ECF No. 17) and Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Counsel, Investigator and Medical Expert (ECF No. 28), it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- 1. Petitioner's objections are **OVERRULED** and Magistrate Judge Heffley's Second Report and Recommendation is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**;
- 2. Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Counsel is **DENIED**;
- 3. Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Counsel, Investigator and Medical Expert is **DENIED**;
- 4. Petitioner's pro se Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus is **DENIED** and DISMISSED with prejudice;

- 5. No certificate of appealability shall issue;1 and
- 6. This case shall be **CLOSED** for statistical purposes.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Gerald J. Pappert GERALD J. PAPPERT, J.

No reasonable jurist would disagree with the Court's disposition of Petitioner's claims. See Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).